

# **SRV02-Series**

Rotary Experiment # 3

## Ball & Beam



**Student Handout** 



### Ball & Beam Student Handout

#### 1. Objectives

The objective in this experiment is to design a controller for the ball and beam module such that the position of the ball accurately tracks a defined path.

Upon completion of the exercise, you should have have experience in the following:

- How to mathematically model the Ball & Beam system.
- To linearize the model about an operating point.
- To control the position of the ball on the track by manipulating the servo angle.
- To design and simulate a WinCon controller for the system.

#### 2. System Requirements

To complete this Lab, the following hardware is required:

- [1] Quanser UPM 2405/1503 Power Module or equivalent.
- [1] Quanser MultiQ PCI / MQ3 or equivalent.
- [1] Quanser SRV02-E(T) servo plant.
- [1] Quanser BB01 Ball & Beam Module.
- [1] Quanser SS01 Remote Sensor (Optional).
- [1] PC equipped with the required software as stated in the WinCon user manual.
- The required configuration of this experiment is the SRV02-E(T) in the High-Gear configuration with a UPM 2405/1503 power module and a suggested gain cable of 1.
- It is assumed that the student has successfully completed Experiment #0 of the SRV02 and is familiar in using WinCon to control the plant through Simulink.
- It is also assumed that all the sensors and actuators are connected as per dictated in the Experiment #0 as well as the SRV02 User Manual and the Ball & Beam User Manual.

#### 3. Mathematical Model

Figure 1 below depicts the Ball and Beam module coupled to the SRV02 plant in the correct configuration. The beam consists of a steel rod in parallel with a nickel-chromium wire-wound resistor forming the track on which the metal ball is free to roll. The position of the ball is obtained by measuring the voltage at the steel rod. *When the ball rolls along the track, it acts as a wiper similar to a potentiometer resulting in the position of the ball.* 



Figure 1 - Ball & Beam Module

The following table is a list of the nomenclature used is the following illustration and derivations.

Symbol	Description	Symbol	Description
L	Beam Length (L = 16.75 in)	r	Lever arm offset (r = 1 in)
x	Ball Position	m	Mass of the ball
α	Beam pitch (radians)	R	Radius of the ball
θ	Servo load gear angle (radians)	J	Ball's moment of inertia
<b>F</b> <sub>tx</sub>	Translational force on the Ball	<b>F</b> <sub>rx</sub>	Rotational force on the ball
g	Earth's gravitational constant		



Figure 2 - Ball & Beam Mathematical Illustration

Let us begin by examining the forces acting on the ball. We have the translational force due to gravity, and we have a rotational force due to the torque produced by the rotational acceleration of the ball. The 2 forces are:

Gravitational force in the x-direction:

$$F_{tx} = m g \sin \alpha$$
 [3.1]

The torque produced by the ball's rotational motion is equal to the radius of the ball multiplied by the rotational force (opposing the direction of travel). Using Newton's  $2^{nd}$  equation of motion, we also know that the torque is equal to the ball's moment of inertia multiplied by its angular acceleration, which then can be written as its moment of inertia multiplied by the double-derivative of its translational motion (*x*) divided by its radius yielding the following expression:

$$T_r = F_{rx} R = J a = J \frac{\ddot{x}}{R}$$
[3.2]

We now take the ball's moment of inertia  $J = \frac{2}{5}mR^2$  and re-arrange equation [3.2] to solve for the rotational force:

$$F_{rx} = \frac{2}{5}m\ddot{x}$$
 [3.3]

Given all the forces acting on the rolling ball, we can again apply Newton's 2<sup>nd</sup> law of motion and equate the ball's mass multiplied by its acceleration to the sum of forces acting on the ball:

$$m \ddot{x} = \Sigma F = F_{tx} - F_{rx} = m g \sin \alpha - \frac{2}{5} m \ddot{x}$$
 [3.4]

Finally, we will rearrange equation [3.4] yielding:

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{5}{7} g \sin \alpha$$
 [3.5]

To linearize the above equation, note that  $sin\alpha = \alpha$  for small  $\alpha$  (in radians). Since the pitch of the beam will always remain relatively small, this estimation will hold true for this particular experiment yielding a final, linearized transfer function of:

$$\frac{X(s)}{\alpha(s)} = \frac{5g}{7s^2}$$
[3.6]

Referring back to the illustration of Figure 2, let us refer to the arc length traveled by the lever arm as *Arc.* If we measure both angles in radians, we get the following expression:

$$\theta r = Arc = \alpha L \rightarrow \theta = \frac{L}{r} \alpha$$
 [3.7]

To complete the system derivations, we need to know the transfer function from *Motor Voltage*  $V_m$  to output angle  $\theta_i$ :

$$\frac{\theta_{L}(s)}{V_{m}(s)} = \frac{\eta_{g}\eta_{m}K_{t}K_{g}}{J_{aa}R_{m}s^{2} + (B_{aa}R_{m} + \eta_{a}\eta_{m}K_{m}K_{t}K_{a}^{2})s}$$
[3.8]

Since there is only **1** configuration (**High-Gear**) of the SRV02 for the Ball & Beam module, we can rewrite the transfer function with all the model parameters entered:

$$\frac{\theta_L(s)}{V_m(s)} = \frac{61.54}{s^2 + 35.1 s}$$
[3.9]

\**Note:* The above transfer function was obtained from the derivations of *Experiment #1 of the SRV02 – Position Control*. The model parameters used can be found in the *SRV02\_Exp1\_Position Control* Lab Handout or the *SRV02 User Manual*.

#### 3.1 Pre Lab Questions

In the previous section, we have completely derived the mathematical model of the Ball and Beam experiment. Theoretically, we can design a state-feedback controller based on the open loop transfer function described as:

$$\frac{X(s)}{V_m(s)} = \frac{\theta_L(s)}{V_m(s)} \frac{\alpha(s)}{\theta_L(s)} \frac{X(s)}{\alpha(s)}$$

There are two flaws with this approach. Since the above model is of 4<sup>th</sup> order, designing a controller to meet time specifications becomes increasingly complicated. The above model was obtained by linearizing the physical system. In the Ball and Beam experiment, the relationship between  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  only holds true for approximately -90°  $\leq \theta \leq$  90°. Outside of this 'linear' range, the relationship is reversed and  $\alpha$  actually decreases as  $\theta$  is increased.

Considering the above limitations, the objective of this lab is to design a controller by implement two independent control loops:

- **Inner Loop** to control the position of the servo gear to a commanded angle.
- **Outer Loop** to control the position of the ball on the beam by manipulating the servo angle.

The goal is to design the inner loop to be sufficiently faster than the outside loop to ensure that the servo plant dynamics do not interfere with the ball controller dynamics.

The following table lists the recommended time specifications to be designed for each loop:

	Time to Peak (T <sub>p</sub> )	Damping Ratio (ζ)	Natural Frequency (ωո)	Percent Overshoot (%OS)
Inner Loop	0.200 s	0.707	22.2 rd/s	4.6%
Outer Loop	1.5 s	0.707	2.96 rd/s	4.6%

Table 1 Controller time specifications

1) Given the above specifications and equation [3.9], calculate the gains required to achieve the **inner-loop** requirements for the servo motor. Use the state-feedback signal:  $V_m = K_p(\theta_d - \theta_L) - K_v s \theta_L$ 

\*Hint: Fit your closed loop transfer function to the standard second order representation of:

$$\frac{\omega_n^2}{s^2+2\zeta\omega_s+\omega_s^2}$$

Using the state feedback signal, your inner loop will be of the following form:



Figure 3 Inner Loop Controller Schematic

\*Hint: You should notice that the inner loop controller is identical to the controller designed for SRV02\_Exp1\_Position Control.

2) Design the outer-loop gains with the requirements from Table 1 and the outer loop transfer function derived in equation [3.6]. Use the state-feedback signal:
 α = K<sub>p</sub>\_bb (X<sub>d</sub> - X) - K<sub>v</sub>\_bb s X

You should notice that the design procedure is the same for the outer and inner loops. The **outer-loop** gains that were just calculated are in *radians/meter*. We would like to operate the Ball & Beam module in centimeters so at this point you should adjust your **outer-loop** gains from *rd/m* to *rd/cm*. (Divide your **outer-loop** gains by 100).

You should now have all four controller gains calculated as described in the following table:

Gain	Description	Units
K <sub>p</sub>	Inner-Loop Proportional Gain.	V/rd
KvInner-Loop Velocity/Derivative Gain.		V/rd/s
K <sub>p</sub> _bb Outer-Loop Proportional Gain.		rd/cm
K <sub>v</sub> _bb	Outer-Loop Velocity/Derivative Gain.	rd/cm/s

3) The first task upon entering the laboratory session will be to construct a simulation of the plant and controllers from the provided Simulink blocks. It is suggested that you take some time before the lab and put together a signal flow diagram in order to quickly connect the blocks and simulate the controller once you enter the session.

#### 4. In Lab Procedure

The Ball & Beam module serves as a great example to illustrate the use of multiple control loops within one system. As described in the Pre-Lab, the purpose of this laboratory is to control a ball's position along a beam by manipulating the load angle of the servo motor.

The controller to be designed has 2 separate PV (Proportional – Velocity/Derivative) control loops. The **Inner-Loop** controls the servo angle to a desired setpoint angle, while the **Outer-Loop** controls the ball position by commanding a setpoint position. By design, the **inner-loop** is intended to respond respectively faster than the **outer-loop** to ensure the servomotor's dynamics do not interfere with the ball position dynamics. The following table details the time specifications best suited for this experiment.

	Time to Peak (T <sub>p</sub> )	Damping Ratio (ζ)	Natural Frequency (ωո)	Percent Overshoot (%OS)
Inner Loop	0.200 s	0.707	22.2 rd/s	4.6%
Outer Loop	1.5 s	0.707	2.96 rd/s	4.6%

 Table 2 Controller Specifications

At this point, it is assumed that you have calculated the required controller gains needed to meet the specifications of Table 2. The first task upon entering the laboratory is to familiarize yourself with the experiment. The Ball & Beam module should be positioned in its calibrated base as it appears in Figure 1. The Ball & Beam module requires 3 connections:

From	То	Cable	Description
<i>'To Load'</i> on the UPM	Motor Power on the SRV02	6 pin DIN to 4 pin DIN	This is the Motor Power supplied from the UPM amplifier.
Encoder on the SRV02	Encoder #0 on the terminal board	5 pin DIN to 5 pin DIN	This is the digital encoder data that measures the servo angle.
Beam sensor	SI on the UPM	6 pin – 6 pin DIN	Analog ball position measurement.

 Table 3 Ball & Beam Connections

\*Note: If you are unsure about the wiring and connections, ask a T.A. Assigned to the lab for help. The experiment should have already been pre-wired and might not need any connections made.

After ensuring the experiment has been set-up and wired correctly, you are now ready to begin your session.

#### 4.1 Simulation of the Plant

On the PC connected to the experiment, launch a Matlab session. In the directory assigned to the lab, you should find a Matlab script file called *"Setup\_SRV02\_Exp3.m"*. By running this script, you initialize all the experimental variables to your workspace and set all parameters needed by Simulink. After execution of the script, you will be prompted to enter in your calculated controller gains from the pre-lab. Matlab is case-sensitive so the variable names should be as follows:

Kp = (Calculated Value) – Inner loop proportional gain.
Kv = (Calculated Value) – Inner loop velocity/derivative gain.
Kp\_bb = (Calculated Value) – Outer loop proportional gain.
Kv\_bb = (Calculated Value) – Outer loop velocity/derivative gain.

After entering in your calculated gains, the first task of this lab is to **Build** a controller for this experiment. In the Simulink model called *"s\_Ball\_Beam.mdl"*, you will find all the required blocks that will make up your simulation. After successfully building your simulated control system, you can use the simulation to test the performance of the controller you have implemented.

As mentioned in the pre-lab, you will have to connected all the building blocks provided in the Simulink model to make a complete feedback control system. The following table describes the blocks that have been provided to build the simulation.

Block Name	Description
Command in Centimeters	This is the setpoint signal, you will notice that there is a signal generator producing a square wave connected to it. Use this block as your position setpoint.
Outer Loop Kp	This is your outer loop proportional gain. <i>Kp_bb</i> .
Inner Loop	We have included the completed inner loop as a template of how the full system should be connected. You should look inside the inner loop to get an idea of how the controller functions. Also, you should note the limiter attached to the input of the inner loop as the <b>alpha-&gt;theta</b> linear relationship is only true in this region ( $50^{\circ} \le \theta \le 50^{\circ}$ ). The limiter prevents the system from demanding an undesirable theta out of the linear region.
Ball & Beam TF	This is the model of the Ball & Beam system, you can think of this as your Open- Loop transfer function from Alpha (radians) to X (meters).
Outer Loop Kv	This is your outer loop velocity/derivative gain. <i>Kv_bb</i> . Notice that the derivative block attached has a filter with it. In practical application, a derivative block should never be unfiltered as high frequencies will damage any motor over time.
Position in centimeters	Use this scope to monitor the position of the ball on the beam.

Table 4Model Block Descriptions

The rest of the blocks are straight-forward and should be self-explanatory. Every block in this diagram should be used in your simulation. The blocks that are wired together should be kept together.

\*Hint: If you look at the units of the calculated gains, it will assist you in determining the required connections. You should also make note that a desired alpha is reached by commanding a theta first, so you should make use of the conversion blocks (alpha->theta, theta->alpha) wisely.

Once you are confident that you have built the equivalent ball & beam model and you have implemented the controller correctly, you can proceed and simulate the experiment. Choose *Simulation->Start* to begin the simulation of your system. Double-Click on the *Position in Centimeters* scope to monitor the response of your system. Using the suggested time requirements in your calculations and building your Simulink model correctly, you should have a measurement similar to Figure 4 below.



If your scope looks similar to Figure 4, you have connected the simulation blocks properly and your gains were calculated correctly. If your plot does not match that of Figure 4, then:

- You may not have connected the simulation blocks correctly, check with a T.A. assigned to the lab to see if your simulation of the experiment is connected correctly.
- Your controller gains were not calculated correctly. At this point you should re-iterate the calculation of the controller gains. Make sure your outer loop gains have been adjusted to accept centimeters instead of meters.

#### 4.2 Implementing the Controller

After verifying the calculated controller gains, it is time to implement the controllers on the actual system. In the same working directory, open a Simulink model called *"q\_Ball\_Beam.m"*. This model has the I/O connection blocks linking to the physical plant as well as a simulated block to compare real and simulated results.



Figure 5 Ball & Beam Controller

Figure 5 Above depicts the Ball & Beam controller we have developed for this experiment. Take note of the two limiter blocks, one to limit theta to the linear region as mentioned above, and one to ensure the commanded ball position falls withing the physical beam limits. An important block in this controller is the 56° offset added to Theta\_D. This is needed to initialize the controller from the starting position to the zero position as depicted in the two figures below.



Figure 6 Starting Position



Figure 7 Zero Position

Due to this configuration, the controller will only operate correctly if the Ball & Beam system is always started in the starting position seen in Figure 6. Due to the weight of the system, it should in most cases rest in the starting position, but it is always a good idea to double-check before running the controller.

Your calculated controller gains should still be in the workspace and will get passed to this model. From the menu bar select *WinCon->Build*. Once the code has compiled, you may run your controller through the WinCon server.

# \*WARNING: If at any point the system is not behaving as expected, make sure to immediately press STOP on the WinCon server.

The ball and beam module should now be running and the ball should be tracking a square wave from 5 cm to -5 cm on the beam. Through the Simulink diagram you may adjust the commanded position of the ball. Through the WinCon server, you should now plot the *Ball Position in cm, Simulated Ball Position as well as Command in cm.* Your plot should look similar to figure 8 below.



Figure 8 Step Response of the Plant

As you can clearly see, the response of the system does not follow the simulated response. On the other hand, the physical response does meet our required time specifications and the system is stable.

#### 5. Post Lab Question and Report

Upon completion of the lab, you should begin by documenting your work into a lab report. Included in this report should be the following:

- i. The calculations made for question **1** of the pre-lab. Include your calculated gains as well as your design procedure.
- ii. The solution for question **2** of the pre-lab, include your complete design steps and all calculations.
- iii. In question **3** of the pre-lab, you were required to design a signal flow diagram of the controller being designed. Include the signal flow diagram with comments on the different signals and states of the system.
- iv. Your first task of the lab was to build a simulation of the plant and controller. Include your finished model (print the model after you have successfully connected and simulated) with all the provided blocks connected.
- v. After simulating the system with the model, comment on the performance of your controller (calculated gains). Include your scope output similar to Figure 4.
- vi. In section **4.2**, you implemented your controller on the physical plant. Provide the response of the system as well as the simulated result. This graph should look similar to Figure 8. Comment on the performance of your controller on the actual system as opposed to the simulated model.
- vii. Make sure to include your final controller gains and any re-iterative calculations made if any.

#### 5.1 Post Lab Questions

- 1) After implementing your controller on the actual plant, you should have noticed the obvious discrepancies between actual and simulated results. What reasons would you believe would lead to these large discrepancies?
- 2) In this lab, the ball's position on the beam was controller using two control loops. After completing this laboratory, what other types of controllers would you suggest as an alternative to this method? What would be the limitations of such an approach?
- 3) It should be evident after implementing the controller on the actual plant, that the step response will have a steady-state error. What method would you propose to remove this error? Explain.